



LAW OFFICES OF JASON GLASGOW

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE: Thursday, October 29, 2026

Re: UCP Complaint and Inglewood Unified School District Public Records Response

Attorneys File Formal UCP Complaint Alleging Title IX and Sex Equity in Education Act Violations Against Inglewood Unified School District Related to Votechella Incident With Mayor Butts, Raising Serious Questions About District's Response to Student Safety Concerns

Los Angeles (October 29, 2026). The Law Offices of Jason Glasgow, counsel for the Inglewood High School student identified here as Jane Doe to protect her privacy, today announced that it has filed a Uniform Complaint Procedures (UCP) complaint against Inglewood Unified School District (the District). The complaint alleges that the District, under the administration of Dr. James Morris, its County Administrator, failed to comply with Title IX and the Sex Equity in Education Act. It follows Ms. Doe's report concerning the September 15, 2026 Votechella event at Inglewood City Hall, where, after a school performance, it is alleged the Mayor held her by her bare skin and did not let go when the photograph ended, until she spun away.

"Dr. Morris stood at the podium on September 21 and said he was confident the District had taken care of its responsibility. The District's own public records response says there is no incident report, no witness statement, and no written record that anyone reached out to Ms. Doe or her family. We filed this complaint to get a meaningful investigation commenced. The regulations required Ms. Doe's allegations to be investigated within 24 hours," said Jason Glasgow, attorney for Ms. Doe.

What Dr. Morris said and what the records show. Ms. Doe reported the touching to school staff and City officials on September 15, 2026, the same day. At the September 21, 2026 City Hall press conference, Dr. Morris said from the podium, "I'm confident that the school district has taken care of their responsibility in this case." In a written statement that same day, he said he has not "failed to follow up on a need for an administrative investigation." On October 5, 2026, we requested the District's records. The District's October 19, 2026 response, issued through its counsel, states that the District has no witness statements, police reports, or incident reports, and no written record of any resources or contacts provided to Ms. Doe and her parents. Dr. Morris's assurance is not reflected in the District's response. No investigation is identified.

The District's leaders stood in solidarity with the Mayor. Dr. Carliss McGhee, the District's Board President, gave the opening remarks at the press conference and said the District's leaders, standing with Dr. Morris, were "united as education and civic leaders" in support of the Mayor. Dr. Morris then said the District's "responsibility as educators, first and foremost, is to protect students." Yet neither of them mentioned the welfare of the student who made the report or her family. Standing in solidarity with the accused Mayor while saying nothing about Ms. Doe gives the appearance of prejudgment and suggests that protecting her was not their first concern.

District Regulation 5145.7 required action. The regulation lists "[m]assaging, grabbing, fondling, stroking, or brushing the body" and "[i]mpeding or blocking movements or any physical interference with school activities when directed at an individual on the basis of sex" as sexual harassment, and it reaches conduct "off campus or outside of school-related or school-sponsored programs or activities." It requires an employee who receives a report to pass it to the principal or the District's compliance officer "[w]ithin one school day," "whether or not the alleged victim files a complaint," and requires the principal or compliance officer to "take steps to investigate the allegations" "[r]egardless of whether a formal complaint is filed." The Sex Equity in Education Act prohibits sex based harassment in any school program or activity (Cal. Educ. Code §§ 220 and 230) and requires each district to maintain a written sexual harassment policy (§ 231.5). Title IX (34 C.F.R. §§ 106.30 and 106.44) also require a district that learns of sexual harassment in its program to respond promptly and offer the student supportive measures.

The complaint. Filed under the Uniform Complaint Procedures (Cal. Code Regs. tit. 5, § 4600 et seq.), the complaint alleges that the District failed to comply with those laws and with Regulation 5145.7. It alleges a prompt investigation did not occur, supportive measures for Ms. Doe were not provided, and not all records relating to the September 15, 2026 event were produced in the District's response.

No retaliation. Ms. Doe reported the touching to District employees. We expect the District will not retaliate against those employees, or against anyone else who reports or takes part in this matter, which District policy and state and federal law prohibit.

Media Contact: Jason Glasgow, Esq., (213) 290-5060, press@jglgrp.com